

**CITY OF PORT ST. LUCIE  
CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES  
MAY 21, 2012**

A Special Meeting of the CITY COUNCIL of the City of Port St. Lucie was called to order by Mayor Faiella on May 21, 2012, at 3:00 p.m., at Port St. Lucie City Hall, 121 SW Port St. Lucie Boulevard, Port St. Lucie, Florida.

**1. MEETING CALLED TO ORDER**

**2. ROLL CALL**

Council Members

Present: Mayor JoAnn M. Faiella  
Vice Mayor Linda Bartz  
Councilman Jack Kelly  
Councilwoman Shannon M. Martin

Members Not

Present: Councilwoman Michelle Lee Berger

Others Present:

Gregory J. Oravec, City Manager/CRA Director  
Roger G. Orr, City Attorney  
Stefanie Beskovoyne, Assistant City Attorney  
Sherman A. Conrad, Parks & Recreation  
Director  
Edward Cunningham, Communications Director  
Joel Dramis, Building Official  
Edwin M. Fry, Jr., Assistant Finance  
Director  
Azlina Goldstein Siegel, Assistant City  
Attorney  
Jesus A. Merejo, Utilities Director  
Karen A. Phillips, City Clerk  
Anthony Veltre, Nuisance Abatement Program  
Coordinator  
April C. Stoncius, Deputy City Clerk

**3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Mayor Faiella led the assembly in the Pledge of Allegiance.

**4. PROCLAMATIONS**

There was nothing scheduled for this item.

#### 5. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS

There was nothing scheduled for this item.

#### 6. ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS TO AGENDA AND APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Mayor Faiella said, "It has been requested that Items 11 b), 12 a), and 13 b) be removed." Councilwoman Martin asked, "With regard to removing 12 a), what happens to the votes that were already cast by the people who were at the meeting that night? Do we take names? Are we going to inform them that they can vote again?" The City Manager replied, "As you are aware, last week we had a public workshop at the Civic Center for our proposed 9/11 memorial. There were three concepts proposed. All three were different. What we refer to as Design B was the most selected. We had a process by which the public could physically place a sticker on the design of their choice, and Design B had the most votes. We prepared that Design B to be presented to the Council today for final selection. In that time, we received e-mails from Mrs. White, who had a daughter lost on Flight 93, and she brought to our attention that at the National Memorial for Flight 93 only the family members can walk on the grass. In our Design B it had a ring of ornamental grass around the fountain. People would have been walking on that grass. She requested that we respect the same custom. As a result, staff would like to work with the architects to more appropriately put that tribute to Flight 93 in the fountain. The winning design is the one that's being moved forward. It's just how we best pay tribute to Flight 93 within that winning design. Three alternatives utilizing Design B will be in our lobby starting at 6:00 p.m. next Tuesday, and everyone who voted at the workshop last week is specifically invited back, given the opportunity to vote again on the best Design B, and the best Design B will be one that best pays tribute also to Flight 93. At 7:00 p.m. the voting will cease on that design, and we will bring that to you at that meeting. We will have roughly 105 days to get the job done." Councilwoman Martin **moved** to approve the Agenda. Vice Mayor Bartz **seconded** the motion. The City Clerk restated the motion as follows: for approval of the Agenda, with Items 11 b), 12 a), and 13 b) removed. The **motion passed unanimously** by roll call vote.

#### 7. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

- a) **APPROVAL OF MINUTES - APRIL 23, 2012**

Councilman Kelly **moved** to approve the Consent Agenda. Councilwoman Martin **seconded** the motion. The City Clerk restated the motion as follows: for approval of the Consent Agenda. The **motion passed unanimously** by roll call vote.

**8. SECOND READING, PUBLIC HEARING OF ORDINANCES**

There was nothing scheduled for this item.

**9. OTHER PUBLIC HEARINGS**

There was nothing scheduled for this item.

**10. FIRST READING OF ORDINANCES**

There was nothing scheduled for this item.

**11. RESOLUTIONS**

**a) RESOLUTION 12-R52, PUBLIC HEARING, EXTENDING THE PORT ST. LUCIE RESIDENTIAL STREET LIGHTING ASSESSMENT AREAS, AUTHORIZING THE STREET LIGHTING TO BE MADE AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ASSESSMENT OF THE COST THEREOF; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE**

The City Clerk read Resolution 12-R52 aloud by title only. Mayor Faiella opened the Public Hearing. There being no comments, Mayor Faiella closed the Public Hearing. Councilman Kelly **moved** to approve Resolution 12-R52. Councilwoman Martin **seconded** the motion. The City Clerk restated the motion as follows: for approval of Resolution 12-R52. The **motion passed unanimously** by roll call vote.

**b) RESOLUTION 12-R53, DECLARING A PUBLIC NUISANCE AT 313 NE SURFSIDE AVENUE, PORT ST. LUCIE, FLORIDA AFTER A SHOW CAUSE HEARING; REQUIRING ABATEMENT OF THE NUISANCE WITHIN A CERTAIN TIME; AUTHORIZING ABATEMENT BY CITY FORCES; PROVIDING FOR THE RECOVERY OF ABATEMENT COSTS AS A SPECIAL ASSESSMENT AGAINST THE PROPERTY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE**

Mayor Faiella said, "This item was pulled."

**c) RESOLUTION 12-R54, DECLARING A PUBLIC NUISANCE AT 849 SE DAMASK AVENUE, PORT ST. LUCIE, FLORIDA AFTER A SHOW CAUSE HEARING; REQUIRING ABATEMENT OF THE NUISANCE WITHIN A CERTAIN TIME; AUTHORIZING ABATEMENT BY CITY FORCES; PROVIDING FOR THE RECOVERY OF ABATEMENT COSTS AS A SPECIAL ASSESSMENT AGAINST THE**

PROPERTY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE

The City Clerk read Resolution 12-R54 aloud by title only. Ms. Beskovoyne said, "The pool in the backyard is covered, but it's not an approved child safety barrier. The door is basically open." Mr. Veltre stated, "It sits in the frame, but the hinges and the door jamb need to be replaced so that the door can function. The bank has come in and recovered it, so it's a little more secure than this picture shows, but they haven't taken any other action on it. The fence is also in need of repair or replacement. Currently, the fence is acting as the child safety barrier, and it has to be self closing. It doesn't conform to the FBC." Mayor Faiella opened the Public Hearing. There being no comments, Mayor Faiella closed the Public Hearing. Vice Mayor Bartz **moved** to approve Resolution 12-R54. Councilwoman Berger **seconded** the motion. Vice Mayor Bartz noted, "We were talking about providing something that was actually going to be approved. Have we ever gotten to that point?" Ms. Beskovoyne replied, "Once we go out for bid and get the cover, we will be able to put an approved safety barrier on this pool. We just need to ask you for permission to spend the money to do so." The City Clerk restated the motion as follows: for approval of Resolution 12-R54. The **motion passed unanimously** by roll call vote.

**d) RESOLUTION 12-R55**, DECLARING A PUBLIC NUISANCE AT 1004 SE BYWOOD AVENUE, PORT ST. LUCIE, FLORIDA AFTER A SHOW CAUSE HEARING; REQUIRING ABATEMENT OF THE NUISANCE WITHIN A CERTAIN TIME; AUTHORIZING ABATEMENT BY CITY FORCES; PROVIDING FOR THE RECOVERY OF ABATEMENT COSTS AS A SPECIAL ASSESSMENT AGAINST THE PROPERTY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE

The City Clerk read Resolution 12-R55 aloud by title only. Mr. Veltre stated, "We have an unsafe pool without an approved child safety barrier per the FBC. It's also not maintained. There's a screen enclosure surrounding it, but there are several screens missing and the screen door is not functioning. There's a six-foot wooden privacy fence around the property that is securing the pool right now, but the gate to the fence doesn't conform to the child safety barrier according to the FBC." Vice Mayor Bartz asked, "Are these houses occupied? Are they both in foreclosure?" Ms. Beskovoyne replied, "Any houses we bring to you are vacant. Most of them are in foreclosure, but every one of them has a different circumstance." Mayor Faiella opened the Public Hearing. There being no comments, Mayor Faiella closed the Public Hearing. Councilwoman Martin **moved** to approve Resolution 12-R55. Councilman Kelly **seconded** the motion. The

City Clerk restated the motion as follows: for approval of Resolution 12-R55. The **motion passed unanimously** by roll call vote.

**e) RESOLUTION 12-R56**, DECLARING A PUBLIC NUISANCE AT 1614 SE HOLIDAY ROAD, PORT ST. LUCIE, FLORIDA AFTER A SHOW CAUSE HEARING; REQUIRING ABATEMENT OF THE NUISANCE WITHIN A CERTAIN TIME; AUTHORIZING ABATEMENT BY CITY FORCES; PROVIDING FOR THE RECOVERY OF ABATEMENT COSTS AS A SPECIAL ASSESSMENT AGAINST THE PROPERTY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE

The City Clerk read Resolution 12-R56 aloud by title only. Mr. Veltre said, "The main concern is that it's a failed culvert pipe, which is backing up the surrounding drainage system significantly to the point where we felt that we needed to bring it to you. We're not getting any action from the bank or the owner." Mayor Faiella opened the Public Hearing. There being no comments, Mayor Faiella closed the Public Hearing. Councilman Kelly **moved** to approve Resolution 12-R56. Vice Mayor Bartz **seconded** the motion. The City Clerk restated the motion as follows: for approval of Resolution 12-R56. The **motion passed unanimously** by roll call vote.

**f) RESOLUTION 12-R57**, DECLARING A PUBLIC NUISANCE AT 1707 SE HONDO AVENUE, PORT ST. LUCIE, FLORIDA AFTER A SHOW CAUSE HEARING; REQUIRING ABATEMENT OF THE NUISANCE WITHIN A CERTAIN TIME; AUTHORIZING ABATEMENT BY CITY FORCES; PROVIDING FOR THE RECOVERY OF ABATEMENT COSTS AS A SPECIAL ASSESSMENT AGAINST THE PROPERTY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE

The City Clerk read Resolution 12-R57 aloud by title only. Mayor Faiella opened the Public Hearing. There being no comments, Mayor Faiella closed the Public Hearing. Councilwoman Martin asked, "Is this the one that came before us once before?" Mr. Veltre replied in the affirmative. Vice Mayor Bartz **moved** to approve Resolution 12-R57. Councilwoman Martin **seconded** the motion. The City Clerk restated the motion as follows: for approval of Resolution 12-R57. The **motion passed unanimously** by roll call vote.

**g) RESOLUTION 12-R58**, DECLARING A PUBLIC NUISANCE AT 2165 SE FLORESTA DRIVE, PORT ST. LUCIE, FLORIDA AFTER A SHOW CAUSE HEARING; REQUIRING ABATEMENT OF THE NUISANCE WITHIN A CERTAIN TIME; AUTHORIZING ABATEMENT BY CITY FORCES; PROVIDING FOR THE RECOVERY OF ABATEMENT COSTS AS A SPECIAL ASSESSMENT AGAINST THE PROPERTY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE

The City Clerk read Resolution 12-R58 aloud by title only. Mr. Veltre said, "This is also an abandoned house, but more importantly there's an accessory use structure in the rear yard that has had electricity run to it without the required permits and without any inspections, and that's the reason we're bringing it before you. We don't know if it was installed correctly, so we're going to be asking to remove that electricity." Mayor Faiella asked, "Did they basically create a home?" Mr. Veltre replied, "It looks like they were either putting air conditioning in a workshop or some type of refrigerator. That shed has since been secured. I have seen some movement from the bank, but they're just not acting quickly enough." Mayor Faiella opened the Public Hearing. There being no comments, Mayor Faiella closed the Public Hearing. Councilman Kelly stated, "You look at the house itself, and it's not that bad. The only thing you're talking about is the shed in the backyard. It was never my intention that we would go to nuisance abatement over a shed in the backyard." Mayor Faiella asked, "Didn't you say that this house was vacant?" Councilman Kelly replied, "It's vacant, but the house itself. . . . I just think it's going a little beyond what I intended for nuisance abatement." Councilwoman Martin commented, "This is to notify the bank and the owner that it's in the process. Hopefully, they will go forward with the process and do something about it, knowing that it's in the program." Mr. Veltre noted, "I think the point is that if it was just the shed being unsecure and needing some maintenance, we wouldn't have brought it to you. The fact that it has electricity wired to the shed that was never inspected and a permit was never pulled makes it the health and safety issue, and then the reason we bring it in front of you is because no one is doing anything about it. We don't know if it's a fire hazard, if it was installed properly. It's really the electricity. It's not so much the condition of the shed."

Councilman Kelly pointed out, "I think there are other avenues of correction, other than nuisance abatement. It goes against my grain for this one." The City Attorney remarked, "That is a fair comment, and that's why these things are brought to the Council. It's not just a staff determination. We are looking for Council input on staff judgment, and this is the kind of input that gives us direction and parameters as we look at other cases as to whether they should be brought to the Council or not. Your position on that is truly a fair comment and is appreciated by staff." Mayor Faiella asked, "And you saw the house?" Councilman Kelly replied in the affirmative. Mayor Faiella asked, "And your comment was that every month you saw something that was added to

the shed?" Mr. Veltre replied, "No. Every month or so, the bank acts on the property, for example, by cutting the grass. Then they will let it grow back up to three feet. We don't wait for them to act again. We end up going in and cutting it. With the shed, they did board it up, because I explained to them that it was in need of repair. Instead of removing the electricity as I advised them to do, they threw some boards over the opening. It's like I'm seeing baby steps every once in a blue moon, but not enough to put it on hold to work with. We've done that in that past on this one. It has been in the program for quite a while."

Councilwoman Martin stated, "I tend to agree with Councilman Kelly, but at the same time if a child gets into this shed and starts playing with things and gets zapped, there's where we could have an issue. Working on that versus getting it taken care of is my concern." Mayor Faiella asked, "Is the shed boarded now?" Mr. Veltre replied, "The shed is boarded now. However, the wire runs from behind the boards to the house, down the structure of the house, and underneath the stucco somehow. They probably tapped inside somewhere." Vice Mayor Bartz asked, "Do we already have them on a mow schedule?" Mr. Veltre replied, "We don't really have them on a schedule. We monitor it. If the bank doesn't act quickly enough and it gets out of control, the City enters and cuts it." Vice Mayor Bartz pointed out, "So we're cutting it and charging the homeowner whether that is the bank or the estate. Is that correct?" Mr. Veltre replied in the affirmative. Vice Mayor Bartz asked, "Has that already been handled through nuisance abatement?" Mr. Veltre replied, "It's more Code compliance." Vice Mayor Bartz remarked, "At this point, all you're really looking at is the power for the shed. If we agree to this, what's going to happen?" Mr. Veltre replied, "We would ask to remove the wiring from the house to the shed." Councilman Kelly said, "The power is already off. No one is in this house. Is that right?" Mr. Veltre replied, "It's vacant. I can't verify. . . ." Councilman Kelly stated, "To me, nuisance abatement is a huge step, and our intention when we did this. . . . We had one last week or the week before on Erwin. I said that I hoped the picture was not a recent one of the Erwin house where the grass was five feet high, because it's on our mow list. That house has been on our mow list for four years, and I think it took over two years before it went to nuisance abatement. Here's one where it's only a shed, and we're ready to put it on nuisance abatement. It's not consistent for me. I don't think I can support putting this on nuisance abatement just for a. . . ."

Mr. Dramis pointed out, "I visited this house myself and did go in the shed. The shed is in the setback. Part of the roof does overhang the property line, and the shed is unsafe. It can't stay." Vice Mayor Bartz asked, "This is not just an electrical problem is it?" Mr. Dramis replied, "That's correct. The electrical made me quite nervous, but the shed can't stay even if the electrical is removed and the power is disconnected. The shed can't be repaired and has to be removed." Councilwoman Martin remarked, "I'm going to support this, although I do understand what Councilman Kelly is saying. We set policies on this program. The goal is to clean up our City, make sure that everyone is complying, and to make sure that our City both on the residential and commercial sides looks great. We have to take all of those things into account." Mr. Dramis said, "You have to keep in mind that this is just not a shed. This is a shack with about three or four additions to the shack on it. Nothing is Code compliant. Nothing is safe." Vice Mayor Bartz said, "I would not have supported this had Mr. Dramis not talked about the safety of the shed. What I'm hearing is that this is a health and safety issue, which is why I will support it. I **move** to approve Resolution 12-R58. Councilwoman Martin **seconded** the motion. The City Clerk restated the motion as follows: for approval of Resolution 12-R58. The **motion passed** by roll call vote, with Mayor Faiella, Councilwoman Martin, and Vice Mayor Bartz voting in favor, and Councilman Kelly voting against.

Ms. Beskovoyne stated, "I want you to know why we pulled Surfside. The property just transferred ownership, and for notice purposes we want to make sure we're doing everything possible to notify the current owner."

## 12. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

### a) SELECTION OF SEPTEMBER 11 MONUMENT VOTING RESULTS, PARKS AND RECREATION

Mayor Faiella said, "Item 12 a) was removed."

## 13. NEW BUSINESS

### a) POTENTIAL TO PURCHASE TAX CERTIFICATES, UTILITY SYSTEM DEPARTMENT

The City Manager said, "As part of operating a first class utility, we have to ensure that we have adequate water supply, not only today but into the future. A lot of times with government planning activities, you're dealing with five-year

planning horizons, 20-year planning horizons. But when you're dealing with your water, you really have to plan 50 to 100 year time horizons. We believe that in the future there will be wars and political battles fought over water. This is a scarce resource. For the past couple of years, the utility has been trying to evaluate a surface water source, but it's too expensive. They've been looking out west at some of the ranches and agricultural lands that have been advertised for sale, and the number just hasn't been right. Over the last several months, as you have been individually briefed, the utility has been evaluating the Bayhill site, which is approximately 3,100 acres. That site has been struggling. The property is undergoing the foreclosure process. The City has been working with the lender to either purchase the property to see if the utility could bring a proposal to Council, which would purchase it outright or alternately to purchase the note. Unfortunately, we haven't been able to come to a meeting of the minds on the staff level. The asking price is still too high, and they rejected staff's proposal. However, they have not made payment on their taxes, and at this point the taxes are overdue. In the State of Florida when a property owner doesn't pay, his/her tax certificates become available for purchase, and they become available at an auction. The auction works on a reverse bid."

Mr. Oravec continued, "You purchase the tax certificate for the amount of the taxes and the amount of interest you're willing to take. It starts at 18% and you actually bid it down. The winning bidder pays the face amount of the tax certificate, and that provides all of the taxing entities with their tax money. Eventually, a property owner takes out the tax certificates or, after two years, the certificate holder can apply for a tax deed auction, at which point the property is auctioned off for sale. The starting bid at a tax deed auction is the amount of all of the taxes due, all of the certificates and interest to date. When that happens, the tax certificate holders are paid out. If no one else bids, the tax certificate holder essentially receives the property, because the starting bid at the tax deed auction is the amount of the tax certificate to date, and you can only call that option after you have basically wiped all of the other tax certificate holders out. What you have before you is not a change in the City's investment policy. Because the utility has an interest in acquiring this property to ensure our water resource, we wanted to bring this idea to you. If the utility were to purchase the tax certificates, it would secure the interest in the property. Will we have to buy next year's certificates? Yes. Otherwise we would never be able to get the call for the tax deed sale. Let's say that we went through that

process. Let's round it up to \$250,000. A couple of years from now for \$250,000 we would be able to participate in the auction and that would be our starting bid. If no one else bids, we would get it for \$250,000. If someone else bids up, we would know beforehand how high we were willing to go."

Mr. Oravec stated, "We would either have the property at a much lower cost basis than the \$10 million that the bank has thrown out there to us, or someone else would wipe us out, and our investment and tax certificates would not only be repaid, but it would be repaid with interest, somewhere between 1% and 18%. I think we actually went to .75% as our maximum bid. To put this into perspective, our historical rate of return right now, because the market is so low, and because we have to invest in ultra safe investments, is somewhere around .75%. The City has a lot of money that it has to invest. It has to invest its cash. Right now, we're earning about .75% on that cash. In this case, where we have an interest in the property, our best case scenario, as a result of this tax certificate purchase, would be that we get the property. Our worst-case scenario is that we would have a higher rate of return on that investment of approximately \$91,000 than we could otherwise achieve. That's the idea that has been submitted for your consideration." Councilwoman Martin asked, "Is this going to be Utility Contingency Fund money?" Mr. Oravec replied, "Correct. The actual purchase that might happen someday would come out of 2006 bond issue. It would be capital funds, but this tax certificate sale as set forth in the memo from Mr. Merejo would be from the Contingency Fund." Vice Mayor Bartz noted, "I understand that if we purchase the certificate this year, we may have to pay the taxes again next year. Do we have to pay more than that? Is it three years?" Mr. Oravec replied, "It ends up feeling like three years, because the 2011 taxes were just due March 31, 2012. That's when the certificate for 2011 is sold at this upcoming tax certificate auction at the end of this month."

Mr. Oravec continued, "You can call for the sale two years after holding the certificate." Vice Mayor Bartz asked, "Are we paying taxes for two years or three years?" Mr. Oravec replied, "It's my understanding that it would be two, but you come into the third year when the auction is held." The City Attorney noted, "You're paying the taxes for 2011 and 2012. The taxes are actually delinquent. So from that perspective if you prevail at the tax auction, you're going to end up paying the third year." Vice Mayor Bartz asked, "But if you don't, will you only pay two years out?" The City Attorney replied in the affirmative. Councilman Kelly commented, "When we talked about the inland

port last year, we brought up Bayhill. I had found at that time that they had not paid their taxes for two or three years, so I'm confused. Is it two years from now, because they hadn't paid their taxes already?" The City Manager replied, "They had gone in arrears. Our understanding is that the bank had advanced the funds to pay those taxes for a couple of years going, and that advancement gets added to the principle balances due on the mortgage if they were to try and bring their mortgage current."

Vice Mayor Bartz pointed out, "What you're saying is that the taxes were paid, but they were just paid by the bank." The City Attorney remarked, "That is our understanding. The mortgage is in default. I don't believe that they have actually commenced the foreclosure proceedings." Mr. Oravec said, "There are two Bayhill properties. The one that we're talking about is the larger piece. There's also a 330-acre piece. Is this property current other than the 2011 taxes?" Assistant City Attorney Goldstein Siegel stated, "The property is fully current until 2011. All of the taxes are paid by the bank. The other two parcels that the City Manager is referring to concerned land that was foreclosed by the bank. There are about 380 acres, and that's not under the Bayhill name. The Bayhill property consists of about 3,107 acres." Councilman Kelly noted, "That's the piece I'm talking about. The point is that if we do this and it's going to come out of the Utility Fund, it's not coming out of ad valorem taxes or the General Fund. The worst thing that could happen is that we make more money on the interest." Vice Mayor Bartz **moved** to approve Item 13 a). Councilwoman Martin **seconded** the motion. The City Clerk restated the motion as follows: for approval of Item 13 a). The **motion passed** by roll call vote, with Councilwoman Martin, Councilman Kelly, and Vice Mayor Bartz voting in favor, and Mayor Faiella voting against.

**b) HONEYWELL BUILDING SOLUTIONS ENERGY PERFORMANCE CONTRACT, CITY MANAGER**

Mayor Faiella said, "We removed this item."

**14. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT**

The City Manager said "I would like to leave the City Manager's Report to the evening meetings every month." Mayor Faiella responded in the affirmative.

**15. COUNCIL COMMENTS AND COMMITTEE REPORTS**

**VICE MAYOR BARTZ - SYMPOSIUM**

Vice Mayor Bartz stated, "I going to wait until the evening meeting. I went to a symposium last week, and have some notes. I don't have them with me, but I would like to talk about it at the Monday night meeting." Mayor Faiella responded, "That's fine."

**COUNCILWOMAN MARTIN**

Councilwoman Martin stated, "I have no updates."

**COUNCILMAN KELLY - TAX CERTIFICATES**

Councilman Kelly asked, "Why did you vote against that last item?" Mayor Faiella replied, "It's just my gut feeling. I don't want to put us out there. I would rather pay down utilities. I don't like raising them 3% every year, and that's my opinion."

**MAYOR FAIELLA - HAPPY MEMORIAL DAY**

Mayor Faiella said, "I want to wish everyone a happy Memorial Day, a good weekend, and a good week."

**16. ADJOURN**

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 2:55 p.m.

---

Karen A. Phillips, City Clerk

---

Carol M. Heintz, Assistant City Clerk